

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2005) KLR VOL 12 PART 206 pp. 2843 - 3026

DECEMBER 2005

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

DECEMBER CONTD.

4. Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Industries Ltd. p. 2843
5. Magit v. University of Agriculture Makurdi p. 2885
6. Nigeria Ports Authority Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927
7. Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2005) 12 KLR

ACTIONS - Issue - Damages - Subject matter of this claim - Has nothing to do with the place of location - Or registration of the factory premises (H1) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

ACTIONS - Jurisdiction - Cause of action - Damages - Lex situs of the factory - Is not an issue in this case - As cause of action arose - From the parties' contract (H2) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

ACTIONS - Limitation - NPA Decree 1993 - Filing action after 12 months limitation period - In a Contract of Bailment - Makes it statute barred (H4) Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

ACTIONS - Limitation - Statutory privilege - Reliance on limitation clause - As a defence in a breach of contract claim - Would depend on the facts - On which an individual case turns (H3) Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

ACTIONS - NPA Decree 1993 - Limitation of action - As a defence - Is not available to appellant - Where the transaction between the parties - Is related to specific contract of bailment (H2) Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

ACTIONS - Reliefs - Writ of Summons - Pleadings - A party is at liberty - To amend reliefs sought in the Writ - In his Statement of Claim (H9) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

ACTIONS - Statute bar - Effect of - Where an action is brought outside the prescribed statutory period - It becomes statute barred - And does not give rise to a cause of action (H5) Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - Discretion - University Senate - Award of degrees - Exercise of discretion - In refusing to award degree to a

dishonest student - Is not malicious but within its jurisdiction (H7) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University degree - Process of earning M.Sc degree - Cannot be complete - Without a successful thesis - Subject to a certain condition (H8) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University Senate - Duty of - Is to award or refuse degrees - As it considers fit - To any student (H3) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University Senate - Powers of - As the Supreme Academic Authority - It has the duty to judge thesis placed before it - And courts lack jurisdiction - To dabble into administrative affairs of a University (H5) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

APPEALS - Action - Basis of - Was rightly held by the Court of Appeal - To be the grant of loan and mortgage agreement between the parties - And not based on the immovable property at Ogun State (H3) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Where not perverse - Supreme Court will not interfere (H9) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Will not be disturbed - Unless they are perverse - And not supported by credible evidence (H4) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Argument that is at variance with the ground - Will be discountenanced and the ground struck out (H5) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

APPEALS - Leave - Preliminary objection - Method of raising - Where contained in respondent's brief - It must be raised with leave of court (H2)

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2005) 12 KLR

Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

APPEALS - Notice of - Can be filed on the day of judgment - And miscarriage of justice - Was not shown as arising from the filing - Which at most may amount to mere harmless irregularity (H1) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

APPEALS - Reversal - Admission of inadmissible evidence - Can only ground a reversal - If the exclusion of that evidence - Makes it impossible for the judgment to stand (H3) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

APPEALS - Reversal - Issues - Lower court's failure to specifically pronounce - On the issue of estoppel and standing by - Did not occasion a miscarriage of justice - To warrant a reversal (H9) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

COURTS - Evidence - Proof - Duty of court - In evaluating evidence - Is to examine and peruse carefully - Documents and oral evidence - Before it (H8) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

DAMAGES - Special damages - Proof - Kalla case - Purchase price of item - Where not controverted - The award is justified - Though receipt is not tendered (H11) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

EVIDENCE - Land law - Estoppel - Previous proceedings - Pleadings - Evidence of previous proceedings relied upon by appellant - Do not relate to the land in dispute - And were not properly pleaded (H10) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Proof - Allegation that claim was not proved on preponderance of evidence - Is not substantiated (H5) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

EVIDENCE - Proof - Unchallenged evidence - Where a party gives

evidence - And it is not challenged by the opposite party - Who has opportunity to do so - It is open to Court - To act on that evidence (H10) Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

FAIR HEARING - Reliance on - In appeals - Should not be as if it is a magic wand - It is facts that determine whether fair hearing is denied (H4) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Garba case - University Senate - Thesis consideration - Fair hearing is not breached - As in Garba case - Because student was absent - When his thesis was considered (H6) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

LAND LAW - Appeals - Title - Communal ownership - Appellant's contention against lower court's comment - Goes to no issue - In view of the gravamen of its decision (H7) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

LANDLAW - Communal land - Proof of partition - Though appellant failed to discharge - The burden of proof on him - Respondents succeeded in showing that the land in dispute - Is communal property (H8) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

LANDLAW - Pleadings - Family land - Facts elicited in cross examination - Go to no issue - Where they are not pleaded (H1) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

LANDLAW - Res judicata - Pleadings - Consistency - Confused evidence of an aged witness - Should not be the reason - For defendant to go contrary to his pleadings (H2) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

LAND LAW - Title - Trespass - Family land - Cannot be validly conveyed as personal property - Appellant that proved no title - Is a trespasser ab initio (H6) Ojoh v. Kamalu p. 2973

LANDLAW - Title - Pleadings - Fact is to be pleaded not document - Where

vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2005) 12 KLR

defendant failed to prove his root of title - Lower court rightly held - That plaintiffs proved their case (H4) *Ojoh v. Kamalu* p. 2973

PLEADINGS - Evidence - Documents - Where facts are pleaded - Document need not be specifically pleaded (H7) *Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd.* p. 2843

PLEADINGS - Evidence - Prima facie case - Averment in pleadings - Are deemed abandoned - Where party who seeks judgment in his favour - Does not produce credible evidence - In support of his pleadings (H6) *Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd.* p. 2843

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Actions - Dismissal of - Statute bar - Where raised as a defence - And the defence is sustained - Court is to make an order of dismissal of plaintiff's action (H6) *Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd* p. 2927

STATUTES - Provisions of NPA Decree 1993 - Courts - Interpretation of - Where words of a statute are clear and unambiguous - Court is to give such words - Their natural, literal and ordinary meaning (H1) *Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd* p. 2927

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979 s. 33(1) *Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi* p. 2885

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 s. 36(1) *Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi* p. 2885

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 s. 236 *Arabambi v.*

Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

Court of Appeal Act s. 16 Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd
p. 2927

Evidence Act ss. 132(1), 135(1), 137(1), 139 and 227(1) Ojoh v. Kamalu
p. 2973

Evidence Act ss. 135, 137 Arabambi v. Advance Beverages Ltd. p. 2843

Federal High Court Civil Procedure Rules 1976 O. 27 rr. (1) & (3) Nigeria
Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

Nigerian Ports Decree No. 74 of 1993 ss. 3(1) & (2), 72(1) and 97(1)
Nigeria Ports Author. Plc. v. Lotus Plastics Ltd p. 2927

Supreme Court Act s. 27(2) Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885

Supreme Court Rules O. 2 r. 9 Magit v. Uniagric Makurdi p. 2885